

REVIEWER'S REPORT

TITLE:

REFERENCE:

REVIEWER:

DATE:

Regarding the manuscript:

| | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Is it in keeping with the editorial line of <i>Neurosciences and History</i>? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Is it sufficiently original? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Can it be summarised without losing its impact? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Is it easy to understand? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Does it make use of proper language and style? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Does its structure follow the journal standards established by <i>Neurosciences and History</i>? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Is the title informative? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Is the abstract informative? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Does the introduction provide context for the study by describing current research in the field? Are the hypothesis and objectives clearly stated? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Are the methods appropriate and clearly defined? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Does the results section present the study's findings logically and concisely? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Does the discussion section analyse the results and compare them with previous knowledge in the field? Are conclusions coherent with results? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| Are the references current and relevant? Do they follow the journal standards? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |
| If applicable, are any relevant ethical concerns about the study taken into account? <i>Remarks:</i> | | |

RECOMMENDATION

COMMENTS FOR AUTHORS:

COMMENTS FOR THE EDITOR:

We would like to thank you once again for your assistance. At a later date, we will notify you of the editorial staff's final decision regarding the manuscript which you so kindly took the time to review.